| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|--|--|--|--|
| • , | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Notice of Allowability | 10/031,925 | MCDONNELL, WILLIAM R. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Robert P. Swiatek | 3643 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI | (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject t | plication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS | |
| 1. $igtimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>amendment filed 2 M</u> | ar. 2004; telephone interviews of 12 | May 2004 and 5 Oct. 2004. | |
| 2. ⊠ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>130,132,133,141,147,151-153,</u> 231,238,245,246,248,251,257-262,279-282,285 and 289. | <u>157,177,184,185,209-214,216,217,</u> | <u>220,221,226-</u> | |
| 3. 🛮 The drawings filed on 23 January 2002 and 02 June 2003 | are accepted by the Examiner. | | |
| 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received. | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do | cuments have been received in this | national stage application from the | |
| International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | |
| * Certified copies not received: | | | |
| Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. | of this communication to file a reply IENT of this application. | complying with the requirements | |
| 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give | | | |
| 6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus | st be submitted. | . · | |
| (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers | on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO | -948) attached | |
| 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date | | | |
| (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date | s Amendment / Comment or in the C | Office action of | |
| Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t | .84(c)) should be written on the drawi he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(| ngs in the front (not the back) of (d), | |
| 7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT | sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL I | must be submitted. Note the AL MATERIAL. | |
| Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5. ☐ Notice of Informal F | Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 6. ☑ Interview Summary | , , , , , | |
| 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date | Paper No./Mail Da | Paper No./Mail Date <u>5-17-04</u> . 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendment/Comment | |
| 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit | 8. X Examiner's Stateme | ent of Reasons for Allowance | |
| of Biological Material | 9. 🗌 Other | Robert P. Swiatek Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3643 | |

Art Unit: 3643

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or

additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR

1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in telephone interviews with Mr.

J. Philip Polster on 12 May 2004 and 5 October 2004.

The application has been amended as follows: Claims 286-288, 292 have been canceled;

in claim 130, line 5, the word -automated- has been inserted before "launching"; on page 5, line

24, of the specification, the paragraph has been changed to read -FIGS. 14A and 14B are frontal

views of additional aircraft configurations for this invention.-; on page 6, line 10, of the

specification, the paragraph has been changed to read -FIGS. 25A, 25B, and 25C show other

embodiments of deployable lifting systems.-.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The claims in this

application have been allowed because the prior art does not disclose an aerial launch system

including a lifting apparatus for carrying an aircraft to an elevated altitude; a tow line connecting

the apparatus to a base structure in the form of a water craft able to create a relative wind through

forward movement sufficient to provide lift to the lifting apparatus; and an automated launching

means, with the launching means adapted to carry the aircraft to the elevated altitude and release

Application/Control Number: 10/031,925 Page 3

Art Unit: 3643

it for flight. While systems including parasails connected to water craft are well-known in the

prior art, such systems do not include automated launching means adapted to both carry and

release aircraft at elevated altitudes.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

This case is being passed to issue with allowed claims 130, 132, 133, 141, 147, 184, 185,

209, 151-153, 210-214, 227, 228, 230, 257, 177, 221, 226, 229, 231, 238, 245, 246, 248, 251,

258, 216, 217, 220, 259-261, 157, 262, 279-282, 285, 289, renumbered as claims 1-45,

respectively.

RPS: \$703/308-2700

6 October 2004

POBERT P. SWIATER

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 383 3643